OPEN LETTER TO THE VICE-PRESIDENT OF THE WORLD BANK

Philippe H. Le Houerou, Vice-President of the World Bank
Europe and Central Asia Division

December 18, 2010

Dear Mr. Philippe H. Le Houerou!

First of all, I would like to express my respect to You and to the World Bank, which has contributed a lot to the development and poverty alleviation in developing countries, including in Uzbekistan. However, we believe that not all World Bank projects in Uzbekistan deserve unconditional approval. In particular, our concern is that some of the projects in the agricultural sector supported by the Bank have not received adequate prior study and have not been sufficiently consulted with civil society organizations and human rights groups. At least, neither our organization nor other local human rights groups I'm aware of have been ever contacted and consulted despite the fact that we work together with them to addressing social and rights related issues existing in the farming sector of Uzbekistan.

Our human rights organization has been for last several years monitoring the situation with the rights of farmers and the use of child labor in the cotton sector. Our research has shown that the use of forced child labor in this sector is widespread. According to our observations, children are sent to the cotton fields to pick cotton not by their parents, but by their school administrations at the directive of the district and provincial authorities.

In spite of international criticism for this practice and the subsequent adoption in 1998 of the Law "On Guarantees of the Rights of the Child" as well as the ratification by our government of ILO Conventions No. 138 "On Minimum Age for Admission to Employment" and No. 182 "On the Elimination of the Worst Forms of Child Labor", the practice of mass scale mobilization of children and college students for picking cotton remains unchanged since the Soviet times. For instance, in 2009, hundreds of thousands of school and college students spent on cotton fields for more than two months and returned to their classes only at the end of November. This year's harvest seems to be no exception from this practice. The school kids are working in cotton fields in hazardous conditions exactly at this time, while the agro-project managers of the World Bank keep reporting success stories about the situation in the farming sector of Uzbekistan.

One of the reasons of the Uzbek government not heeding criticism is that not everyone in the world is aware of this problem. This is partly because some of the most respected international organizations not only exhibit indifference, but also support the Government's version of this problem. It is well known that the Uzbek government denies that the use of forced child labor is widespread and that the public authorities are complicit in it. Such a respected international agency as UNICEF had been already criticized for adopting this position when they underreported the true nature and extent of the problem of child labor in Uzbekistan. To our best knowledge, due to growing criticism, UNICEF has since changed its stance and now recognizes the problem.

Nevertheless, another authoritative international organization, the World Bank, has failed so far to provide an adequate assessment of the realities in the agro-sector of Uzbekistan and to acknowledge the fact
of the widespread use of child labour in this sector. We regret that the Bank’s support to projects in the agricultural sector in Uzbekistan has been unconditional and was not used as a leverage to encourage the government of Uzbekistan to stop the human rights abuses in this sector. What is also regretful is that in documents justifying the allocation of loans and grants for agro-projects, the World Bank has admitted statements that are completely at odds with reality. Thus, intentionally or not, the authors of respective appraisal documents mislead the international community and donors to these projects on the real situation in Uzbekistan’s agricultural sector. We sincerely hope that our constructive criticism will be received accordingly and can initiate a frank and open discussion directed at seeking solutions to the issues we are raising with the World Bank.

Particularly, we would like to pay Your and public attention to the World Bank project, "Rural Enterprise Support Project - Phase 2," which is a continuation of a project by the same name that had been implemented from 2001 to 2008. Our concerns came out from reading the following Project document:


Our assessment of this document is described in the report we’re about to release. In this letter, we would like to summarize our conclusions.

First of all, as the authors of the Project Appraisal Document stated themselves, the Project's phase two had been initiated by the Government of Uzbekistan, so the Bank did have an incentive to encourage the Uzbek government to meet its international obligations in terms of the rights of children and farmers. Did the Bank try to use this leverage? We doubt it did.

After a careful reading of the aforementioned Appraisal Document and comparison between its assumptions and the reality on the ground, we would like to respectfully express our disagreement with some of these assumptions, namely on the following two issues:

1) The state of reforms in agriculture of Uzbekistan;

2) The extent of child labor in the cotton sector of Uzbekistan.

On the first of these issues, we believe that it would be incorrect to say, as the authors of the Appraisal Document did, that there have been fundamental reforms in the agricultural sector. Despite the transformation of collective farms into private farms, the centralized management in two major sectors of agriculture, grain and cotton sectors that occupy up to 70% of arable lands, has remained almost entirely unchanged. The command economy continues to prevail in these two sectors. The Appraisal Document has failed to recognize that farmers remain to be under a direct administrative control of the executive government. As kolkhozes in the past, the farmers are still denied the right to decide what crops to sow in their fields, as well as the right to dispensation of their products.

The phase One of the World Bank Rural Enterprise Support Project which the authors of the appraisal document regard as successful may has indeed brought some benefit to farmers, but mainly in terms of technical and financial benefits such as the revitalization of irrigation and drainage systems, as well as the allocation of loans to
the farmers in five selected districts where the project was implemented. But at the institutional level, it failed to promote genuine reforms, in contrary to what the authors of the Appraisal Document claim. As we said, the farmers still lack the freedom of enterprise, and the de-collectivization has changed a little since the times before the RESP-1. There is no guarantee that the second phase of this project, which began this year, will demonstrate any progress in this regard, as there are no signs of the government's intentions to liberalize the cotton and grain sectors and give farmers the right to decide how to dispose of their land and production.

The assessment in the document on the issue of child labor is even more dismaying to us. The authors of the Appraisal Document state that the use of child labour in the cotton industry in Uzbekistan is not a big issue. It would be naive to suggest that the staff of the World Bank office in Uzbekistan, which has been present in the country for quite a number of years, would be unable to notice the magnitude and scope of this problem. In any case, we think this ignorance is unacceptable and undermines the credibility of the Bank, at least, in the eyes of the civil society of Uzbekistan.

We also believe that these two issues, namely (1) the still persistent command economy in the agriculture and (2) the issue of forced child labor in the cotton industry, are closely related to each other. The second is caused by the first. The farmers themselves, if they were freed from the administrative dictate of the executive authorities, would possibly still bring children to pick their cotton, but would do this on a much smaller scale and, what is most important, on a contractual basis and not under duress and administrative coercion, as it currently takes place practically in all regions of the country.

Here is what we kindly urge to the World Bank:

1) Submit Bank's documents and projects related to agriculture in Uzbekistan, for re-assessment, particularly in terms of evaluating the state of reform in the farming sector and the use of forced child labor;

2) Establish partnerships with civil society organizations on local and international levels. Particularly, the Bank should engage with those organizations which are already fighting for the abolition of forced child labor in Uzbekistan;

3) Strengthen support of reforms in Uzbekistan's farming sector, to free the farmers from administrative directives of the local and central authorities; and to make loans to the agricultural sector contingent on Uzbekistan's progress in executing real reforms in the sector.

Our analysis and proposals are aimed at promoting reform in the country, improving the welfare of our people, and assisting the World Bank, the Government of Uzbekistan and other interested parties to conduct honest assessments of the situation in Uzbekistan, notably in its agriculture. We believe that the reforms and the abolishment of forced child labour are in best interests of our country.

Sincerely Yours,
Vasila INoyatova,
Chairwoman, Ezgulik

Ps. We chose to publicly express our position on this project as we were unable to meet with Mr. Shigeo Katsu, Vice-President of the World Bank's Europe and Central Asia division, who visited Uzbekistan in May
of this year to attend a summit of the Asian Development Bank. At that time, despite a pre-filed application, we were not able to get a meeting with Mr. Katsu, nor could we obtain accreditation to be present at the ADB summit. Realizing that being busy Mr. Katsu is likely unable to fit a meeting with us into his schedule, we sincerely hope that he will receive this document and will read our remarks and will, in the future, decide to take meetings with representatives of civil society in Uzbekistan.

jfrieden@worldbank.org

Dear Mr. Joerg Frieden

I’m Vasila Inoyatova, Chair of “Ezgulik” Human Rights Society of Uzbekistan. In December 2010, our organization released an open letter to the World Bank and a report where we expressed our concerns with regard to the Bank’s Rural Enterprise Support Project – Phase II (RESP-II).

In our view, this project got at least two serious flaws: (1) it ignores the nature and the scale of forced child labour in the cotton industry of Uzbekistan; 2) it depicts inadequately the current status of reforms in the farming sector. As a result, the RESP-II that commenced last year may only aggravate the current problems in Uzbekistan’s agriculture. Having this financial input that aimed to alleviate poverty in rural areas the government of Uzbekistan may perpetuate its practice and policy of exploiting school children and abusing the rights of private farmers.

I’d like to inform you that I’m planning a visit Washington DC to meet with Mrs. Mehrnaz Teymourian the Office Director for Central Asia to discuss the situation around RESP-II. This meeting is scheduled for March 31, 2011, at 11.45 am.

I know you’ve just entered the position of Executive Director representing a number of countries including Uzbekistan. I congratulate you with this appointment and wish you to take a right position towards Uzbekistan.

I was wondering would you be interested and able to join our meeting on March 31, to learn more about Uzbekistan and get a feedback from its civil society to the projects supported by the World Bank.

I’m sending for your reading our open letter and report.

Sincerely Yours,
Vasila Inoyatova